District Academic Senate Meeting

Thursday, October 13, 2016
LA Pierce College, College Services Conference Room
MINUTES

Attendance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Present</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Officers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harbor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pierce</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southwest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trade</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guests</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Call to Order and Approval of the Agenda: President Don Gauthier called the meeting to order at 1:30 PM. The agenda was adopted as amended with no objections (Rosow/Gaines MSC)

Approval of the Minutes as amended (Rosow/Gaines MSC). Abstentions: Ahn.

Public Speakers:
1. Deborah Harrington and Joanna Towne-Zimring (LA College Promise and language concerning priority registration, Handout on Ch. VIII, Art.VI, 8600.2 “New and continuing students fully matriculated students participating in special programs”)
2. Genevieve Patthey (Concerns regarding implementation of MMAP model and placing of students into English composition sequence): Any assessment process needs to be reviewed by the discipline impacted by said assessment. The Pierce English Department feels that what they agreed to is currently being implemented, but they still have questions. They feel that the MMAP implementation issue should have first come before the English Council.
Action items

1. Vote on Changes to Board Rule, Ch. VIII, Article VI – Priorities for Enrollment (Rosow/Atondo MSC):
   a. Immerblum reported that ELAC Academic Senate recommended the following motion: **That the DAS recommend to the Board the revision to the Board Rule 8601 “Registration Priority,” Sub-section 2, Bullet 2: to read:** “Students participating in the LA College Promise (or equivalent college promise programs with other K-12 districts) who have met all required elements of the program.” Brent reported that LATT Senate recommended that LA College Promise students be kept in Tier 2, but not to move previous Tier 2 students into a Tier 3, previous Tier 3 students into Tier 4, and to move previous Tier 4 students into a new Tier 5. LATT felt that the changes in the motion would be contrary to the Board Rule. Gauthier asked if the language should include “in the last term.” Brent did not recall that the language before the DAS was the actual language discussed at the last DAS meeting. Towne-Zimring suggested that in the first year of the implementation of the LA College Program there probably won’t be many students. Miller asked how participation in the program would be defined. Towne-Zimring replied that students need to opt-in and need to maintain enrollment and GPA and other activities. In reply to a question by Rosow, Gauthier replied that adjustments would still be possible after implementation. Harrington suggested amending Immerblum’s amendment to read “…(or equivalent college promise programs with other K-12 school districts); Immerblum took this new language into the motion. Immerblum’s motion passed unanimously.
   b. **Motion: That the DAS recommend to the Board the revision to the Board Rule 8601 “Registration Priority,” Sub-section 2, Bullet 3, to read as follows [this is different from the language in the DAS agenda]: Students participating in the College Completion Initiative who are able to complete the degree, state approved certificates, or transfer program designated on the Student Educational Plan within one semester.** To Rosow's suggestion that the language be made more specific, Gauthier suggested it would be better not to get too specific. Brent reported that a discussion at LATT had suggested that combining of Tiers 2 and 3 may be contrary to State Bill (SB) 1456. (Brent/Milke MSC): Opposed: J. Hernandez, Immerblum. No abstentions. Passed.
   c. To a question by Foster, Towne-Zimring replied that Form 1 was NCAA Form 1.
   d. **Correction to “Registration Priority,” Sub-section 2 (1st sentence): New and continuing students who are fully matriculated students participating in special programs as follows: ...”**

2. Changing the Name of DAS Online Committee to DAS Academic Technologies Committee: Gauthier explained that the motion to change the name of the committee reflected previous discussion regarding expanded technology needs
(e.g. badging, Portfolium). (J. Hernandez/Milke MSC). No abstentions. Passed unanimously.

3. Approve ASCCC Equivalency Paper (“Equivalence to the Minimum Qualifications, Approved Spring 2016”): Gauthier explained that the Equivalency Paper presents guidelines for determining equivalency (emailed to DAS on Aug. 30, 2016). Foster suggested tabling this vote until after a workshop by Riverside City College on this Equivalency Paper. DAS has now noticed this motion as an action item for the November DAS meeting.

Old Business/Discussion

1. E-64 Consultation: Corner has reported that District is discussing E-64; we should get something back shortly. Atondo: DCC discussed E-64 and has suggested that DAS wait to discuss E-64 until after it has gone through consultation. Gauthier reported that the timeline should run until the end of 2016.

New Business/items from the Floor

1. Implementation of Multiple Measures: Gauthier: Statewide implementation of multiple measures has been moved to Fall 2017, but this will have no bearing on students enrolling for Fall 2017). Immerblum reported that a dean at ELAC suggested that implementation is already planned for students enrolling for Fall 2017. Immerblum also expressed concern regarding the planning of implementation of multiple measures: This issue falls under the purview of DAS. J. Hernandez: There is data that suggests that asking students for GPA is a better indicator for success. J. Hernandez suggested asking for clarification in writing. If implementation is indeed planned for Fall 2017, DAS needs to begin discussion this Fall 2016 semester. Gauthier said that he doesn’t see the establishment of a state-wide assessment test score as realistic. Patthey said that both CAI and MMAP are often confused. Milke suggested having a workshop that clearly distinguishes both assessment programs. Gauthier: Math faculty feel as if they are being dragged into the multiple measures implementation without prior consultation. Echeverri reported that new assessments at College of the Canyons have radically increased enrollment in statistics classes. Gauthier suggested inviting John Hetts to DAS to present his PowerPoint on multiple measures. Foster suggested that all English and Math departments begin discussion now before December 2016.

Reports

1. President’s Report:
   a. Accreditation Recommendation (document) (by Albert Roman, Vice-Chancellor of Human Resources): J. Hernandez: Just because individual campuses do not have a uniform policy on hiring adjuncts does not mean that the policy falls under the purview of the district. Roman told Gauthier that the document is just a first pass; in return, Gauthier
suggested that this issue must go before AFT and other interested parties. J. Hernandez: Given our past experience with district delays in handling hiring staff positions, adjunct hirings will be delayed if district has control of a hiring pool. Gauthier: The Cornerstone program will intake all applications. J. Hernandez is concerned with the quickness of clearing applicants and transparency. Opinions regarding keeping hiring lists is mixed: Gauthier likes the idea, whereas J. Hernandez does not.

Milke asked for another venue (not just Friday afternoons) to discuss making hiring policies more uniform. Gauthier has asked Joanne Waddell to consult on this issue. Immerblum commented that there is no explicit statement that we cannot have one person conduct the hire; is this a policy that DAS wants?

b. **Summit:** Gauthier reported that the Summit was successful with 150 attendees, although DAS had expected more people.

c. **Adult Education:** Discussion continues to move forward. Last meeting on Friday, Oct. 7. School districts have set up committees, which we need to begin to jump into. A few non-credit and ESL faculty showed up.

d. **AFT Negotiations:** There is a meeting on Tues., Oct. 11. There were concerns about how District had not addressed HR and HRA. Milke: Does DAS have a list of topics that it wants to discuss with AFT? Gauthier: There is still time to suggest topics for discussion with AFT.

e. **DBC:** J. Hernandez reported that DBC wants to take up the issue of the ECDBC and its membership; they want to make the executive committee of the DBC a true executive committee. The district is not going to make its projected enrollment. The current district plan is to take 2000 FTEFs from Summer 2017 and move them into the 2016-2017 year so we won’t be in the hole, but this action would severely impact 2017-2018.

f. **Bond Steering Committee (BSC)-Bond:** Gauthier reported that there is a soft endorsement by the LA Times. Polls look good for Measure CC. We are pushing for local college co-chairs for the bond measure.

g. **TPPC-DTC Meeting:** Joint meeting last Thursday, Oct. 6. 2016 to discuss district-wide technology improvements.

h. **DHLI Hiring:** Taking place tomorrow, Fri., Oct. 14, 2016, looking for a new administrator.

i. **E-115:** We’re still working on E-115. Bruzzese commented that this E-Reg has many shortcomings.

j. **Motion to extend meeting by 10 minutes** (Rosow/Hanley MSC). Passed.

k. **Board met yesterday to discuss legislative goals:** Campus safety, mental health. Will put forward something more formal in the next few days.

l. **Request by Adrianna re ACCT** (Association for Community College Trustees, next Thursday, Oct. 20.
2. First VP Report
   a. Equivalency Committee: Have been planning for the Discipline Day on
      Dec. 2, 2016: Math, ESL, and CTE disciplines. Second Discipline Day in
      Spring 2017: Feb. 24, 2017. See both lists sent out by Angela, esp. re:
      discussing Digital Arts and Motion Design.

3. Second VP Report: Curriculum Report: CurricuNet will be starting up in a couple
   of weeks.

4. Treasurer’s Report: (see handout): Current Petty Cash balance as of Oct. 12,
   2016: $3150.80. Current DAS Fund 10059 balances as of 10/12/16 (not including
   non-instructional salaries: $47, 218.

5. Standing Committee Reports – PDC Steering Committee: College senates are
   appointing liaisons to the PDC Steering Committee. Standing meeting 3rd Friday,
   next meeting is Nov. 21. Special thanks to Dean Laura Cantu, who has helped
   with funding PDC seminars.

Other Items: None.

Noticed for November/Future Actions
   1. Puente (noticed for action)
   2. Approval of ASCCC Equivalency Paper

Adjournment
   The meeting was adjourned at 3:45 PM.

Future Dates:
   Saturday, Oct. 15, 2016 – Area C Meeting, Pasadena City College, Circadian Room, 10:00
   AM – 3:00 PM
   Friday, Oct. 21, 2016 – Several meetings:
       DAS Exec, ESC Hearing Room, 9:30 to Noon
       Formerly Incarcerated Youth @ LATTTC – 9:00 AM
       Disability Summit, ELAC – S2 Theater Building, 8:30 AM – 2:30 PM – RSVP by
       10/14 to http://tinyurl.com/hsfmnbn
   Thursday, Oct. 20, 2016 – District Strategic Plan Kickoff – GISS @ Mission College
   Thursday, Nov. 3 – Saturday, Nov. 5, 2016 – ASCCC Plenary @ Irvine Westin
   Thursday, Nov. 10, 2016 - DAS Regular meeting, LACC

Respectfully submitted by Vic Fusilero, DAS Secretary