6

1

# **District Academic Senate Meeting**

Thursday, February 13<sup>th</sup>, 2014 West Los Angeles College

**MINUTES** 

#### **Attendance**

	Present
Officers	Don Gauthier (President), David Beaulieu (1 <sup>st</sup> Vice President), Elizabeth Atondo (2 <sup>nd</sup> Vice
	President), Alex Immerblum (Treasurer), Angela Echeverri (Secretary)
City	Dana Cohen, Kalynda Webber, April Pavlik
East	Alex Immerblum, Jeff Hernandez, Lurelean Gaines
Harbor	Susan McMurray
Mission	Leslie Milke, Curt Riesberg, Pat Flood
Pierce	Elizabeth Atondo, Janne Zimring-Towne
Southwest	
Trade	Lourdes Brent, Inhae Ahn, Tom McFall, Larry Pogoler
Valley	La Vergne Rosow, Vic Fusilero
West	Adrienne Foster, Helen Young, Clare Norris
Guests	

7 8

**1. Call to order/Approval of Agenda:** President Gauthier called the meeting to order at 1:32 pm. Agenda was approved (Rosow/McMurray MSP).

9 10 11

- 2. Approval of December 12, 2013 Minutes:
- Minutes of the December 12, 2013 DAS meeting were approved with a few corrections (Foster/Brent MSU).

13

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

- **3. Public Speakers**: None.
- 15 Action Items
- 16 **4. None**

#### 17 **Discussion Items**

- Joint AFT-Senate Issues Committee: Gauthier reported that the DAS and AFT leaderships are interested in collaborating on unifying issues of mutual interest. The joint AFT-Senate committee will draft an outline of a charter with its proposed mission, goals, and parameters. Gauthier will bring the draft back to the DAS Executive for discussion. He observed that administrators commonly find ways to divide and conquer
- faculty and stressed the importance of having a united front.
  - 6. BR 8603 and Enrollment Management: Gauthier reported that according to Vice Chancellor Maury Pearl's statistics, about five percent or 7,000 of Los Angeles Community College District (LACCD) students attend more than one college in the District. He added that identifying which classes these students are taking would facilitate enrollment management. Hernandez stated the numbers were not huge and speculated that many of these students were taking science classes. Beaulieu noted that the data suggests there is less cross enrollment occurring than previously thought. He added that the other issue is that there is an unanticipated cost of about \$100,000 to customize the new Student Information System (SIS) for local

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52 53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64 65 priority registration. Immerblum expressed concern that the DAS passed a very important resolution, which was being undermined once again by the administration coming back with data to support its position. He expressed frustration with the fact that the DAS did not have the opportunity to make its case to the Board and argued that was it not worth capitulating on this issue, if it meant colleges lost their accreditation and enrollment management purview. Echeverri asked whether the customization cost would be ongoing and to each college. Gauthier replied that the \$100,000 is a single year cost to the District; any future change would cost another \$100,000. Webber stated that BR 8603 contains much more than registration priority. She added that this situation underscored the need to revise the consultation process. Gauthier agreed and replied that administrators were feeling the heat from the Accrediting Commission for Community and Junior Colleges (ACCJC) more than ever.

Beaulieu stated that enrollment management is a mutual agreement issue under Title 5 and therefore college presidents would have to agree with the DAS position. He added it would be a tougher task to convince them now, since the numbers are coming in lower than we expected, but that does not mean we should necessarily give up. McMurray expressed concern that software implementation decisions were being made without proper consultation and that administrators were deciding which data faculty get to see. Gauthier urged faculty to be at the table during the District Planning and Assessment Committee (DPAC) meetings. Rosow asked whether faculty would have access to data directly or through the researchers. Gauthier replied it would probably depend on the type of data needed; in some cases the researcher or department chair could provide it. McFall reported he had difficulty obtaining data at his campus. Joanne Zimring-Towne stressed the importance of data and stated that access to data was not affected by enrollment priority. She expressed concern about having an extended registration period and developing policy based on an atypical moment in time (due to the influx of students during the recent budget crisis). Hernandez replied that the reason the Board Rule creates college-based priority is because there is pressure to have a statewide college system. He argued that students who take classes at other campuses don't do as well as students who enroll at a single campus. Hernandez expressed skepticism that the customization cost was the main obstacle and speculated that it was the timeframe, which could probably be addressed. Gauthier expressed frustration that District administrators promised faculty that the new SIS could be customized and did not stand behind that promise. Immerblum asked Gauthier to sustain the DAS position, because it has not changed and therefore the Chancellor and administration have to accept it. Gauthier replied they were aware of the DAS position, but did not agree with it. Pogoler argued the DAS needed to hammer its position publicly anyway, even if the administration does not agree, so that it will be on the record.

- 7. Constitution and Bylaws (noticed for vote at March meeting): Gauthier distributed and discussed proposed changes to both documents. Pogoler pointed out that the documents had two conflicting mechanisms of changing the constitution. He added that the DAS needed to decide on one and strike the other.
- 66 Constitution Article 8 (Amendment to the Constitution and Bylaws) states:
- 67 "Every six years, the DAS Constitution and Bylaws shall be reviewed so as to revise or amend such 68 language.....

DRAFT DRAFT DRAFT

Any proposed amendment or addition to the Bylaws, must be presented to the DAS Exec, and a majority must approved the proposed change before going to the full DAS for ratification. If ratified by a majority vote of the full DAS, the Bylaw change shall become effective immediately and formal notice shall be sent to all the senates of the outcome. "

Bylaws Article XIII (Amending the Bylaws) states:

"Section 1: A proposed amendment to the By-Laws may be presented by any member of the DAS.

Section 2: Any amendment to the By-Laws shall be referred to the Executive Committee for study and, if considered in order with the DAS Constitution, it will be presented for notice at the next regular DAS meeting and voted on at the subsequent meeting.

Section 3: The DAS may adopt proposed amendments to the By-Laws by a two-thirds vote of all members present and voting. Adopted amendments shall be recorded by the DAS Secretary along with the approval date. A history of changes shall be maintained by the Parliamentarian as keeper of the official copy of the Constitution and By-Laws of the Academic Senate."

Pogoler stated that if the DAS were to adopt the Constitution, it would take precedence; otherwise, the language needs to be deleted. Gauthier thanked Pogoler for pointing out the discrepancy and asked DAS members to carefully review both documents. He noted that in the latest revision, all references to "campus" had been replaced with "college." Gauthier added that the Constitution language did not preclude changes occurring more frequently than every six years. Immerblum asked whether the Constitution should have a simple sentence referring to Article XIII of the Bylaws. Rosow agreed the language should be deleted from the Constitution because it belongs in the Bylaws. Rosow added that the rule of thumb is that "Constitution is policy, Bylaws is procedure." Pogoler argued that the amendment language should be in the Constitution because the Bylaws are subordinate to the Constitution. Hernandez agreed and argued that Article XIII (Section 2) of the Bylaws needed to be substituted for the language in the Constitution. Webber asked whether the final language would be approved by the DAS first. Beaulieu replied that the Bylaws were approved by the DAS; the Constitution has to be amended by all faculty. He added that the DAS approved the addition of the first vice president position two years ago. Brent asked who the members of Constitution Committee were. Gauthier replied that the members included Immerblum, Freitas, Pogoler, and himself. Pogoler argued for keeping Article IX (Section 3) of the Bylaws because it is out of Robert's Rules. Pogoler, Beaulieu, and Gauthier suggested the following changes to Article IX (Section1) of the Bylaws:

#### **Article IX: Presentation of Issues**

"Section 1: The DAS President (or designee) shall may terminate general discussion of an action item at the end of ten minutes and shall may call for a vote on the disposition of the any actionable item, unless time is extended by a majority vote of the members present."

Gauthier concluded the discussion by asking DAS members to review the proposed changes carefully and discuss at the local senates.

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

DRAFT	DRAFT	DRAFT

### Reports

106

107

8. President's Report

- 108 a. Sustainability Institute: Gauthier reported that the DAS has requested funds for a 0.2 director position for 109 the Sustainability Institute, which has been around since 2007. The federal funds for this position lasted until 110 last year. The Institute has two components; one is academic and the other has to do with reaching out to our 111 communities. There is a large project taking off at the Southern California Marine Institute (SCMI); we are 112 trying to use this as a learning space and participate with other members of the consortium. Beaulieu added that the position was opened up to all LAACCD faculty; Alexis Navarro from East and George? from Valley did 113 114 the initial work. McMurray reported that Harbor's Dean of Economic Development Sandra Sanchez expressed concern that the CSUs might try to take over the SCMI. Immerblum asked whether it would be a C or D basis 115 position. Gauthier replied it would be D basis. Beaulieu added that we finally have a commitment from the 116 117 District administration for this position.
- b. Chancellor Hiring Report: Gauthier reported that a new chancellor has not been hired yet. The Board is
   taking its time and not communicating about the status of the selection process.
- 120 c. District News (AFT-Senate, Accreditation, SIS updates): Gauthier met with interim Chancellor Barerra to 121 discuss a number of issues. McMurray stated she received an email from Barrera requesting Senate appointees 122 for Harbor's presidential hiring committee. Gauthier replied senates should not appoint anyone until they 123 agree on the hiring procedure. He directly spoke to Barrera and Trustee Veres to ensure they use the current 124 process and change it later if needed. Even though there is only one search firm, they will provide multiple 125 candidates. He added that the selection committee members should be meeting soon to go over the job 126 announcement. Immerblum stated that in the past the Chancellor took it upon himself to develop the final job 127 announcement without committee approval.
- d. ACCJC revised standards: Gauthier announced that the ACCJC revised standards are coming out. He asked
  DAS members to review the standards and send comments to Phil Smith so we can develop resolutions for the
  ASCCC Spring Plenary. He announced that the federal government provides free training on settling disputes
  through Interest Base Bargaining (IBB).
- 132 e. Adult Education: The LACCD is working with K-12 districts to create a regional consortium of Adult 133 Education. The main fight is over who is going to be the lead and control the money. Los Angeles, Culver City, 134 Burbank, and other K-12 school districts are going to participate. They have identified five areas including 135 English as a Second Language (ESL), Citizenship, adult learning, and basic skills. Other programs such as home 136 economics were removed from the program. Governor Brown has not said much about Adult Education this 137 year, but there will be more money attached to it. They are also moving toward more dual enrollment, so we 138 may start to see many kids graduating from high school and college at the same time. Beaulieu expressed 139 concern that immigrants would get the short end of the stick on this. Pogoler added it was obvious there is no 140 money to be made in Adult Education; K12 dropped the program because they lost money.

141

142

- 143 a. Bond Steering: Beaulieu reported that it is clear the direction is toward centralization. All the Campus 144 Project Managers (CPMs) have been let go; that model is now defunct. About 35% of the staff have been 145 let go; some individuals remain as independent contractors reporting to AECOM downtown. This is a 146 dramatic change, we are not sure this is the best way to do business. At least four presidents are not 147 happy with the changes, but they have not complained to the Board about it because they seem not to 148 care. AECOM reports to Jim O'Reilly and he reports to he Board's Infrastructure Committee. We are left 149 with something similar to what the state controller's office suggested, but he feels they shot way past the 150 mark. The closure of the e7 studio was arbitrary. The fiber optic program has been put on hold for 90 151 days. On the energy front, some strange decisions are being made, but they claim they are following 152 process. BSC has been reduced to the faculty representatives (Beaulieu, Gauthier, and Waddell) 153 complaining. The presidents don't bother to complain, because it seems to be a waste of their time. 154 Faculty do get data and information at the meetings, but there seem to be discrepancies. While some 155 Board members seem to be on board with this "one throat to choke" policy, Trustee Moreno has been 156 very strongly opposed to this.
- 157 b. District Budget Committee: Valley and Southwest were discussed in DBC Exec, both are running deficits 158 and in a very tough financial spot. Valley was poorly managed and administered for years and finds it 159 difficult to cut anything. Southwest has some profound structural problems; they seem to be caught in a 160 vicious cycle of a low enrollment pattern.
- c. Equivalency: They still have over 300 files to reconcile. The new Human Resources Vice Chancellor is doing 161 162 a great job. They are not spending any time on new equivalencies. The external and internal auditors keep 163 coming as well. Some of these cases take weeks to untangle. We get half dozen new cases and another six 164 or so inquiries. Gauthier urged DAS members to make sure adjunct faculty are qualified before hiring 165 them. Senates need to ask for verification.

## 10. 2<sup>nd</sup> VP/DCC Report

- a. Discipline Day: Atondo reported that Discipline Day would take place on Friday 2/28/14 at Valley. They have 168 received about 150 confirmations so far and are taking RSVPs until the 19<sup>th</sup>. It will be a working session in 169 170 which discipline faculty need to review single college attributes: levels below transfer, hours (lecture/lab),
- 171 course description.

166

167

172 b. LACCD GE Plan (BR 6200): DCC is revising our General Education plans. LACCD currently has two GE plans, 173 which our students don't need. DCC is proposing to adopt a single GE plan, in addition to the CSU plan, and 174 IGETC. One issue coming up from Trade is that they have many CTE programs with a lot of units required for 175 the major. We need to make sure that the GE plan doesn't have too many units. Our current proposal has 21 176 units, it's a hybrid. One solution is to make an exception for CTE students; the other is reducing the plan to 18 177 units (what our current plan B looks like). Our CTE students are best served by the 18-unit plan, however the 178 social science faculty may not approve it because Area B would only have three units. An argument can be 179 made to have an 18 unit GE, CSU, and IGETC plans. Rosow said if the idea is for students to transfer, it would 180 be more efficient to do that under a graduation plan we espouse. Atondo stated next DCC meeting is March 7.

DRAFT	DRAFT	DRAFT
DIVALL	DIMI	DIVALL

181 Rosow/Brent moved to extend 10 minutes (MSU).

18 units, they have not discussed what area B will look like.

182 Gauthier left the meeting, Beaulieu took over.

183 Pogoler said Trade faculty like Plan B, and they are going to keep it. He added we could get a Board rule 184 change, but that would really upset Trade. He expressed concern that DCC will pander to the social science 185 faculty. Many of their CTE programs were 66 units. He added that they are simultaneously being asked to give up two units from their CTE programs and three more with the 21 unit plan. At Pierce's behest, they had their 186 own graduation plan in which they got rid of Physical Education. Hernandez asked why we are moving away 187 188 from Plans A & B. Atondo replied it is confusing to students and counselors struggle with the plans; none of 189 them see a need for it from a curriculum standpoint. When these plans were adopted many years ago, the CSU 190 and IGETC plans did not exist. Plan A was an attempt to meet the needs of our transfer students. There is no 191 reason to have multiple plans. She surveyed 74 districts and found that only five had more than one plan. 192 According to SB 1440 we cannot impose additional courses on our transfer students. Pogoler stated he was

195

196

197

198

199

200

201

202

203

204

205

206

207

208

209210

211212

213

214

193

194

**15. Treasurer's Report**: Immerblum distributed a written Treasurer report dated 2/13/2014. He is processing mileage claims in two batches this year. Rosow gave Immerblum kudos for doing an exceptional job as DAS Treasurer.

perfectly willing to let go of plan A and substitute it with IGETC and CSU. Atondo replied the GE plan will have

15. SIS Report (Webber): Webber reported on the District's progress on SB 1456. The dates for Fall 2014 enrollment are set to begin on 4/14, which is during Spring Break. The enrollment priority groups have been established cording to Title 5, we do not have any special groups (e.g.: international students). Students with 101 or more units, and two or more semesters on probation will lose their enrollment priority even if they are EOPS students. Kimble will redo the probation report to make sure they are accurate. Immerblum expressed concerned that many students will be affected. Webber said all campuses should develop an enrollment priority appeals process. The districtwide appeal process was wrapped up in to BR 8603 which went nowhere, so it needs to be developed at the campus level. We have been expecting to have a timeline for months; it seems that most campuses have not delineated their timelines. Colleges are responsible for sending out notices to students that have one semester of probation or a certain number of units. Implementation of SB 1456 is moving forward; the adoption of the new SIS has caused delays. Foster at their recent SS meeting it was noted there would be many recommendations for Board Rule changes; she asked how these will be forwarded. Webber replied the body will draft recommendations that will go to consultation. There are forthcoming BR and administrative regulations that should come to consultation soon. At the last operational steering committee, they voted down the prepaid wait list. They did vote to approve a districtwide transcript; noncredit courses need to be transcripted on a separate page.

215

216

Meeting adjourned at 4:05 pm.

Minutes submitted respectfully by DAS Secretary Angela Echeverri