I. ROLL CALL

II. PUBLIC SPEAKERS

III. RECOMMENDATION ITEMS
   A. 2019-20 Federal Policy and Advocacy Proposals
      Leslie Pollner, Holland & Knight, LLP
   
   B. 2019-20 State Policy and Advocacy Proposals
      Dale Shimasaki, Strategic Education Services
   
   C. 2019-20 State Budget Proposal
      Patrick McCallum, McCallum Group, Inc.

IV. INFORMATION ITEMS
   A. 2019-20 Legislative Matrix

V. FUTURE DISCUSSION/AGENDA ITEMS

VI. FUTURE MEETING DATES

VII. ADJOURNMENT
### Next Regularly Scheduled Board Committee Meetings

**Wednesday, January 23, 2019**  
**Educational Services Center**  
**Board Room – First Floor**  
**770 Wilshire Boulevard**  
**Los Angeles, CA 90017**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Committee</th>
<th>Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Facilities Master Planning &amp; Oversight Committee</td>
<td>1:30 p.m. – 2:45 p.m.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Institutional Effectiveness &amp; Student Success Committee</td>
<td>3:00 p.m. – 4:15 p.m.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Budget &amp; Finance Committee</td>
<td>4:30 p.m. – 5:45 p.m.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Committee of the Whole</td>
<td>6:00 p.m. – 7:30 p.m.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If requested, the agenda shall be made available in appropriate alternate formats to persons with a disability, as required by Section 202 of the American with Disabilities Act of 1990 (42 U.S.C. Section 12132), and the rules and regulations adopted in implementation thereof. The agenda shall include information regarding how, for whom, and when a request for disability-related modification or accommodation, including auxiliary aids or services may be made by a person with a disability who requires a modification or accommodation in order to participate in the public meeting. To make such a request, please contact the Executive Secretary to the Board of Trustees at 213/891-2044 no later than 12 p.m. (noon) on the Tuesday prior to the Committee meeting.
Memorandum

Date: December 5, 2018

To: LACCD Legislative & Public Affairs Committee

From: Holland & Knight LLP

Re: Federal Update

This memo provides a brief overview of key issues impacting the Los Angeles Community College District, including:

- Lame-Duck Session Update
- House Leadership Elections & Democratic Agenda
- Proposed Title IX Changes
- Census Citizenship Controversy
- STEM Education Support from White House
- Veterans Support Package in House of Representatives

Lame-Duck Session Update

The passing of former President George H.W. Bush has altered the Congressional schedule and Congress is now expected to stay in session for at least a week longer than previously scheduled. The current continuing resolution funding a portion of the federal government expires on December 7th and lawmakers expected next week (week of Dec. 10th) to be the last week in session. On Monday, however, lawmakers introduced a two-week continuing resolution that would extend funding for the unfinished appropriations bills (including Homeland Security, Commerce, Transportation, Housing & Urban Development, Justice, State and Interior as well as for science programs, foreign operations and agencies like the IRS and EPA) through December 21, temporarily avoiding a government shutdown. The bill will be voted on in the House and Senate on Thursday (Dec. 6th) and President Trump has signaled that he will sign the stopgap funding measure. Also included in the legislation is an extension of the National Flood Insurance Program and the Violence Against Women Act through December 21.

The major sticking point in negotiations continues to be funding for a border wall, a top priority for President Trump. House Republicans support $5 billion in border wall funding, consistent with the President’s request. In the Senate, a bipartisan deal was struck to provide $1.6 billion in border security funding. President Trump has indicated that he would consider a government shutdown if Congress does not provide $5 billion for the border wall. Sen. Schumer has said that Senate Democrats will not support funding above the $1.6 billion level and some Republicans
(notably Sen. Lisa Murkowski) have also indicated concern about going above $1.6 billion. Schumer has floated the idea of doing a one year continuing resolution for Homeland Security if a deal cannot be reached. Other members have suggested a continuing resolution for all of the remaining agencies if a deal cannot be reached.

Among the funding that would be lost in a one year CR:

— **2020 Census**: If Congress can get around to clearing updated funding levels, the Census Bureau would get a nearly $2 billion increase, amounting to a more than 70 percent funding bump in the lead-up to the 2020 count.

— **Agriculture research**: The Agriculture-FDA bill, H.R. 5961 (115), would provide a 3 percent, or $28 million, increase for research and education work under the National Institute of Food and Agriculture. That includes money to support biotechnology research at historically black colleges and universities, as well as Hispanic-serving institutions

— **National Science Foundation**: The agency that supports research and education in fields like engineering and earth science stands to receive an increase of 5 percent, or nearly $408 million.

Incoming Speaker Pelosi and Senate Minority Leader Schumer were supposed to meet with President Trump this week to continue negotiations. Given President Bush’s funeral, the meeting has been rescheduled for next week and Congressional leaders are hoping to have a deal in place before the December 21st deadline.

**House Leadership Elections and Democratic Priorities**

On November 28th, the House Democratic caucus nominated Rep. Nancy Pelosi (D-CA) to be the Speaker of the House for the 116th Congress by a 203-32 vote. A small group of progressive Democrats opposed her run, citing a desire for new leadership. The full House of Representatives will formally vote on its next Speaker on January 3, the first day of the 116th Congress. Like Pelosi, Rep. Steny Hoyer (D-MD) was selected to once again serve as the House Majority Leader, and Rep. Jim Clyburn (D-SC) was elected to serve as Majority Whip. Rep. Hakeem Jeffries (D-NY) was elected as caucus chair, defeating Rep. Barbara Lee (D-CA) for the fourth-ranking leadership position. On the Republican side, Rep. Kevin McCarthy (R-CA) will serve as Minority Leader in the new Congress, and current Majority Whip Steve Scalise (R-LA) will serve as Minority Whip.

Committee leadership assignments for the House were also decided last week:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Committee</th>
<th>Incoming Chair (Democrat)</th>
<th>Incoming Ranking Member (Republican)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Administration</td>
<td>Zoe Lofgren (CA)</td>
<td>Rodney Davis (IL)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agriculture</td>
<td>Collin Peterson (MN)</td>
<td>Mike Conaway (TX)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appropriations</td>
<td>Nita Lowey (NY)</td>
<td>Kay Granger (TX)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Armed Services</td>
<td>Adam Smith (WA)</td>
<td>Mac Thornberry (TX)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In addition, Democratic leadership recently laid out their priorities for the 116th Session of Congress. They include:

- Campaign finance/ethics reform (which will be the first bill on the floor)
- DACA
- Gun safety
- Prescription drug pricing control
- Equality Act

Infrastructure will also be a continuing longer term item for discussion as Democrats determine a viable way to pay for an infrastructure package.

**Proposed Title IX Changes**

Secretary of Education Betsy DeVos recently released a sweeping overhaul of how colleges and universities must handle allegations of sexual assault and harassment, giving new rights to the accused, including the ability to cross-examine their accusers. A law from 1972, Title IX bars sex discrimination at schools that receive federal funding. Most of the focus is on higher education, but the rules also apply to elementary and secondary schools.

One of the most consequential provisions is the standard of proof required in assessing claims. Under the DeVos proposal, schools will be allowed to choose between “preponderance of the evidence” and the higher bar of “clear and convincing” evidence. The guidelines issued by the Obama Administration had directed schools to use the “preponderance of the evidence” standard. The regulation would also require schools to use the same standard in these cases as they use for other complaints, including those against employees and faculty. Another major change from the
2011 Obama administration guidelines are new rules that more narrowly define sexual harassment. It must be “unwelcome conduct on the basis of sex that is so severe, pervasive and objectively offensive that it denies a person access to the school’s education program or activity.” Under Obama-era regulations, harassment was “unwelcome conduct of a sexual nature.”

The proposed rule changes have been published in the Federal Register, and the proposal is open for public comment until January 28, 2019 before being finalized. A one page and section-by-section summary is available on the Department of Education’s website. The American Council on Education is pulling together a joint comment letter with all of the national major higher education association. ACCT is expected to sign on.

**Judge to Rule Soon on Census Citizenship Trial**

In closing arguments in the trial challenging the Trump Administration’s decision to add the question of citizenship to the 2020 census, plaintiffs’ attorneys alleged that the Secretary of Commerce had acted above the law when it was added to the Census. The plaintiffs in the case, a coalition of several dozen states, cities and civic organizations, argue that the question should be removed because it will lead to a significant undercount and cheat localities out of funding and representation. The government says that having more granular ‘block-level’ data would help it enforce the Voting Rights Act.

The judge in the case, U.S. District Judge Jesse M. Furman, has said he expects to issue a ruling within weeks.

**White House Outlines Goals to Boost STEM Education**

A report from the Trump administration, released Tuesday December 4, says that expanding diversity in science, technology, engineering and mathematics education should be a top national priority. The report was developed by the National Science and Technology Council Committee on STEM Education and the White House Office of Science and Technology Policy and lays out three goals:

- for every American to master basic STEM concepts, like computational thinking, in order to respond to technological change
- to increase access to STEM among historically underserved students
- to encourage students to pursue STEM careers

The plan makes a series of recommendations for how federal agencies could bolster STEM education. It calls for reporting on the demographics of participants in federal programs that support STEM education. Each federal agency engaged in STEM education will, in the coming months, be developing its own plans to implement the overarching goals in the plan, White House officials said. The officials did not comment on how much money they are seeking for the plan but did say the President would include STEM funding in the forthcoming budget in February.
The report is required by the America COMPETES Reauthorization Act of 2010; the Obama administration last published a strategic plan for STEM education in 2013.

Veterans Benefits Package Gains Momentum in House

The House of Representatives is likely to take up a package of veterans benefits next week that would address delayed VA educational payments, electronic health records and more. The substitute amendment to S. 2248 is a pre-negotiated package with the Senate. The legislation, which includes several stand-alone bills that were passed by the House earlier in the year, was scheduled to go to the House floor on Tuesday but was pushed back due to the scheduling changes.

The measure would:

- Authorize $847.4 million to construct or renovate Veterans Affairs Department (VA) medical facilities.
- Reauthorize programs for homeless veterans.
- Require the VA to provide Congress with more information on a new electronic health record system.

With regard to the delayed payments issue, schools that offer approved courses to veterans would have to let them participate for up to 90 days with no penalty, even if a payment from the VA is delayed. The measure would direct the VA and state approving agencies, starting Aug. 1, 2019, to approve educational courses for veterans only if schools adopt policies that meet those requirements.

It also would direct the VA to make payments within 60 days after the school certifies the applicable tuition and fees for a student. This part of the legislation is similar to the bill sponsored by Rep. Brad Wenstrup (R-OH), H.R. 4451, which the House passed 377-1 in May.
December 12, 2018

To: Legislative and Public Affairs Committee Members

From: Maria Luisa Veloz
Administrative Officer to the Chancellor

Subject: December State Legislative and Public Affairs Update

---

**LEGISLATIVE UPDATE**

Prepared by: Strategic Education Services

**Legislative Calendar for 2019 Session**

Legislative session has been officially organized on December 3. Organization of the two houses are in place and members are in the process of moving into new offices and hiring staff. Committee chairs and assignments to committees have not yet taken place.

- **December 3:** Legislature convened the 2019-20 Regular Session at noon
- **January 1:** Statutes take effect
- **January 7:** Legislature reconvenes
- **January 10:** Budget must be submitted by Governor
- **January 25:** Last day to submit bill requests to the Office of Legislative Counsel
- **February 22:** Last day for bills to be introduced
- **April 26:** Last day for policy committees to hear and report to fiscal committees fiscal bills introduced in their house
- **May 3:** Last day for policy committees to hear and report to the floor non-fiscal bills introduced in their house
- **May 17:** Last day for fiscal committees to meet and report to the floor bills introduced in their house
- **May 31:** Last day for each house to pass bills introduced in that house
- **June 15:** Budget bill must be passed by midnight
- **July 10:** Last day for policy committees to hear and report bills to fiscal committees
- **July 12:** Last day for policy committees to meet and report bills
- **August 30:** Last day for fiscal committees to meet and report bills
- **September 6:** Last day to amend bills on floor
- **September 13:** Last day for any bill to be passed
- **October 13:** Last day for Governor to sign or veto bills passed by the Legislature on or before September 13
Spot Bill on Food Insecurity Legislation

- Prospective Author: Assemblymember Jose Medina [D-Riverside], Chair of Assembly Higher Education Committee and member of Assembly Budget Subcommittee on Education
- November 2 Meeting with Erika Costa, Chief of Staff and Mishaal Gill, Legislative Aide
- Discussed introduction of food insecurity spot bill
- Expressed interest on behalf of her boss
- Recommended that we come back in December to follow up

Bill on California Promise Year 2

- Confirmed author: Assemblymember Miguel Santiago [D-Los Angeles]
- November 2 Meeting with Jasprit Joel, Legislative Director and Gabriela Castillo, Legislative Aide
- Language on 2nd year of CA Promise has already been submitted to Legislative Counsel by the staff
- Plan to introduce the bill on December 3.
- Would like to do press conference in Los Angeles on December 4:
  - Morning event
  - Invite Governor-elect Newsom to participate
  - Include LACCD Chancellor, LAUSD Superintendent, Mayor of LA
  - Site TBD
- Issues and Follow-up:
  - Santiago’s staff has received feedback that implementation of the CA Promise has not been student friendly in some instances. At some point, they would like to amend the bill to address these issues.
  - They intend to work in tandem with the Newsom Administration on this issue to ensure its passage
  - They will reach out the State Chancellor’s Office to advise them of their intent to introduce the bill.
  - They will also advise the Assembly Higher Education Committee and the Speaker’s Office of their efforts

Best Value Legislation for LACCD

- Prospective author: Senator Ben Allen [D-Santa Monica], Chair of Senate Education Committee and author of similar legislation for UC best value procurement in 2016 with SB 1214.
- November 30: Met with Jennifer Chase of Senator Allen’s staff and Ogalilia Ramirez, Consultant to the Senate Education Committee
  - They raised the concern of ‘why only LACCD?’ should it not apply to all community college districts.
  - Senator Allen’s legislative agenda has not yet been formulated and they will get back to us when he begins it formulation.

Student Financial Aid for DACA Students

- Prospective author: Senator Maria Elena Durazo [D-Los Angeles]
- Meeting request pending
**Course Repeatability**
- November 3: telephone call with Jackie Escajeda, Administrator of Intersegmental Programs and Credit Curriculum at the state Chancellor’s Office of the CA Community Colleges
- Briefed her on the course repeatability issue raised by the Legislative and Public Affairs Committee
- Given our question/concern, she indicated that she will convene a telephone briefing for LACCD on course repeatability regulations as prescribed in Title 5. It will include a discussion of general policies and specific requirements related to nursing curriculum as well.

**Department of Finance: LACCD Legislative Agenda**
- November 2: Met with Higher Education staff at the Department of Finance: Chris Ferguson, Maritza Urquiza, Michelle Nguyen and Rebecca Kirk
- Shared LACCD Legislative agenda:
  - CA Promise.
    - DoF is aware Governor-elect Newsom cited 2nd year of CA Promise as priority
    - They have not had discussion with him on education issues
    - DoF staff asked if there were any changes anticipated in the Santiago proposal or if the architecture of the CA Promise would remain the same
  - Foundation Tax Credit Incentive. On foundation issue of 3 to 1 tax credit, DoF indicated that it would infringe on Proposition 98 guarantee. Specifically, under Test 1, Proposition 98 is funded as percentage of state general fund. If tax credits increase, it decreases the Proposition 98 guarantee. Education Coalition is likely to oppose.
  - Dual Enrollment.
    - They are interested in getting any data showing impact of dual enrollment in districts.
    - They are aware of the need to extend the sunset date but the Chancellor’s Office report evaluating the program is due in January 1, 2021 and the program sunsets in January 1, 2022.
**LAO 2019 Fiscal Forecast**
Recently, the Legislative Analyst’s Office released their 2019-20 Fiscal Outlook. This marks the first step in the 2019-20 budget process. The forecast presents an initial outlook by the analyst on what the economy, revenues and Proposition 98 could look like for the rest of 2018-19, as well as an initial preview of what to expect for projections for 2019-20.

Overall, the LAO notes that the budget is in “remarkably good state.” Under the LAO’s estimates of revenues and spending, the state’s constitutional reserve would reach $14.5 billion by the end of 2019-20. In addition, they project the Legislature will have an additional $14.8 billion in resources available to allocate in the 2019-20 budget process. The Legislature can use these funds to build more budget reserves or make new one-time and/or ongoing budget commitments. By historical standards, they note, this surplus is extraordinary.

The LAO makes two forecasts: one under a growth scenario and the other under a recession scenario. Should the economy continue to grow, the state should have operating surpluses averaging $4.5 billion per year through 2022-23. Should a recession begin in 2020-21, then the state would have sufficient funds to cover a recession through 2022-23. However, the LAO does note that both of these scenarios assume the Legislature makes no new commitments (such as spending increases or tax reductions) in 2019-20 or later. Additionally, the recession scenario assumes that K-12 education and community colleges would continue to be funded at the Proposition 98 minimum guarantee. If instead the Legislature wanted to mitigate the impact on schools and spend above the minimum level, the state’s operating deficits would be larger, and more reserves would be needed to cover the budget problem.

For Proposition 98, under the LAO’s near-term outlook, the 2019-20 minimum guarantee is up $2.4 billion (3.1 percent) over their revised estimate of 2018-19 funding. After accounting for this increase and backing out various one-time initiatives funded in the prior year, among other adjustments, the LAO estimates the Legislature would have an additional $2.8 billion for Proposition 98 programs in 2019-20.

The LAO is also estimating a 3.1% cost-of-living adjustment for schools and community colleges. Funding this COLA would take almost all of the $2.8 billion in additional funding. A 3.1% COLA for Proposition 98 would be $2.3 billion. This would leave very little to spend on other initiatives were the Legislature to fund Proposition 98 at its minimum level. About $480 million would remain available for other activities. Assuming community colleges would receive their 11% share of proposition 98, this would mean that COLA for community colleges would be approximately $253 million. Additional funding left outside of COLA would be approximately $52.8 million.

In the current year, because Proposition 98 is in Test 2, increased revenue to the state would not drive up the guarantee significantly. The LAO estimates that revenues would have to increase by more than $1 billion before the guarantee could be impacted. Increases in excess of $1 billion would result in the minimum guarantee rising about 40 cents for every additional state revenue dollar. On the other hand, revenues would need to fall about $400 million before the guarantee would begin dropping.

In addition to COLA taking up most of the Proposition 98 increase in 2019-20, if revenue were to exceed the LAO estimate by even a small margin, Test 3 would become operative. The guarantee is even more sensitive to revenue changes in Test 3 years, typically increasing about 55 cents for each dollar of additional revenue. If revenue were to increase more than $1.5 billion, Test 2 would become operative.
and the guarantee at that point would no longer increase. This means that potentially, the Proposition 98 minimum guarantee for 2019-20 could increase an additional $825 million. Again, assuming community colleges get 11%, this would be an additional $90.75 million.

Financial Aid

The Community College Chancellor’s Office has developed, and the Board of Governors has approved a proposal that would create a new Cal Grant program for community college students. The program will be based more on “total cost of attendance” than focusing on tuition and fees as the Cal Grant program for UC and CSU do. The proposal was presented to the California Student Aid Commission recently and the commission took action to endorse a proposal that mirrors the State Chancellor’s Office proposal in many ways.

Community Colleges

Under the community college proposal:

- All community college students would be eligible, regardless of age
- Grants would be available for the equivalent of two full years
- Students would have to maintain satisfactory academic progress in order to continue to receive the awards
- In order to participate in the grant program, community colleges would be required to implement certain programs including guided pathways and the federal loan program.
- All programs would be covered – if the program qualified for a federal Pell Grant it would be eligible for this grant.
- The program would likely be housed in CSAC

The program would be based on a formula that would develop a student's “Total Cost of Attendance.” Aid would be based on helping the student reach that cost. A total cost of attendance would be determined and then the following would be backed out:

- Expected family contribution
- Other financial aid (including Pell Grant and Promise Grant)
- Expected student contribution – through work – including enhanced opportunities for work study
- The student’s remaining unmet need would be funded through the grant program.

Budget Update

McCallum Group, Inc. convened the large district coalition to discuss budget priorities and meet with the Department of Finance as they work with the incoming administration to develop a budget proposal to be introduced on January 10th. Among the priorities that were developed by the coalition are the following:

1. Base Allocation Increase
2. Funding Formula – Promise Grant Counted by District Rather Than College
3. Community College Promise – Second Year of Funding
4. Noncredit Apportionment – Change Positive Attendance to Census Date
5. Housing Insecurity – Student and Faculty/Staff Housing Bond
6. Education Bond – Split of Higher Education Proceeds
7. Faculty Support and Diversity
8. Apportionment for Tutoring
9. Dream Resource Centers
10. Growth – Incentive for Access
2019 Budget Priorities
McCallum Group, Inc. met with members of the Department of Finance including Chris Ferguson, Assistant Program Budget Manager, Maritza Urquiza, Principal Program Budget Analyst, and Michelle Nguyen, Finance Budget Analyst. We discussed with them all of Los Angeles Community College District’s budget priorities.

2-Year Free Community College – College Promise
In 2017, LACCD sponsored AB 19 (Santiago) which established the California Community College Promise program allowing first year, full-time students to have their fees waived. Under this proposal, that would be extended to two years of free tuition for full-time students. We had discussions with department of Finance and with other large district coalition members as this being a top priority for LACCD. Department of Finance is aware that this is a priority that has been mentioned by the Governor-elect.
- Assembly Members Miguel Santiago, Bonta, Chiu and McCarty introduced AB 2 on the first day of session. This measure extends the California Community College Promise to 2 year.
- We also anticipate that this will be a part of the budget process. We are anticipating that the proposal could be forthcoming as soon as January 10th when the Administration’s first proposal is released.
- Assemblyman McCarty is a joint author on the bill. He is also Chair of the Budget Subcommittee #2 on Education Finance. If the proposal is not included in the January 10th budget proposal, we anticipate that Assemblyman McCarty will include it in his Subcommittee proposal.

Funding Dream Centers at LACCD Colleges
Met with Department of Finance to discuss targeted funding for Dream Resource Centers. Also included request in letter that went to Governor-elect Newsom’s transition team. Next steps:
- Work with LACCD staff to develop a formal request that includes background and what specifically the funding would be spent on.
- Provide that to Department of Finance, Legislative Budget Committees, and Governor-elect office and staff.

Funding Formula – Promise Grant (BOG Fee Waiver) Duplication for Multi-College Districts
Developed background for the proposal which would have a statewide cost of approximately $100 million up front. Examining ways to make the cost more palatable by perhaps phasing in the change over a three-year period.
- Presented the proposal to Department of Finance staff.
- Included proposal in letter that went to Governor-elect Newsom transition team.
- Next steps to provide background to Governor-elect’s internal staff and Legislative budget members and staff.

Extension/Removal of Sunset Date on Dual Enrollment
In 2015, LACCD sponsored AB 288 (Holden) which created CCAP partnership programs. LACCD has been one of the most prolific users of the authority to this point. Presented the proposal to Department of Finance with a request that they make the change in the budget. Meanwhile:
- On the first day of session, Assemblyman Holden introduced a bill, AB 30 which would eliminate the sunset date on the CCAP program. The bill would also:
  - Eliminate the requirement that the dual enrollment partnership agreement be presented as an informational item once prior to being heard as an action item at a subsequent hearing.
- Allow for units completed in a CCAP partnership agreement to count towards determining a pupil’s registration priority for enrollment and course registration at a community college.
- Require a plan, rather than certification to ensure that:
  - A community college course offered for college credit at the partnering high school campus does not reduce access to the same course offered at the partnering community college campus.
  - A community college course that is oversubscribed or has a waiting list shall not be offered in the CCAP partnership.
  - That pupils participating in a CCAP partnership will not lead to enrollment displacement of otherwise eligible adults in the community college.
- Move up the required report from the State Chancellor’s Office from January 1, 2021 to January 1, 2020 and every five years after.
- Allow student participating in the CCAP program to complete one application for the duration of their attendance at a community college as a special part-time student participating in a CCAP partnership agreement.

- Assemblyman Holden intends to gather information from the field to see if there are other changes that community college districts would like to include as the bill moves forward.

**Faculty Support and Diversity**

Recent reports indicate that community college faculty do no have the diversity of the students that they serve. The Legislature and this Administration should encourage local districts to diversify their faculty hiring. If additional funding is provided for full-time faculty or part-time faculty support, there ought to be incentives to “move the needle” on diversity. If there are not incentives, the allocation of funds for faculty support should include language to encourage hiring of diverse faculty. Other areas where policy could be set includes implicit bias training or other professional development policies that help to ingrain a stronger, more inclusive culture of diversity at the local level.

- The proposal and issue were presented to Department of Finance staff and included in letter that went to the Governor-elect’s transition team.
- Follow up includes continued discussion on what the best way to incentivize the hiring of diverse faculty is and to continue to discuss the issue with Administration staff and Legislative leadership and staff.
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December 3: Legislature convened 2019-20 Regular Session

January 1: Statutes take effect

January 7: Legislature reconvenes

January 10: Budget must be submitted by Governor

January 25: Last day to submit bill requests to the Office of Legislative Counsel
## Legislature by Party Affiliation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Assembly</th>
<th>Senate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>As of November 6, 2018</td>
<td>55 Democrats</td>
<td>26 Democrats</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>25 Republicans</td>
<td>14 Republicans</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>As of December 3, 2018</td>
<td>60 Democrats</td>
<td>29 Democrats</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20 Republicans</td>
<td>11 Republicans</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent Democrat</td>
<td>75.0%</td>
<td>72.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Update on LACCD Legislation (1 of 2)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proposed Legislation</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Update</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| California Community College Promise Year 2 | Extends the provisions of AB 19 for an additional year | • AB 2 (Santiago) introduced on December 3  
• Press conference |
| Extension of Cal Grant from 4 to 6 years | • Reintroduces AB 2306-Santiago from last year | • State Chancellor’s Office of CCC has $1.5 billion proposal |
| Best value procurement | • Applies best value procurement option to LACCD | • Senate Education Committee  
• Senator Allen’s office  
• Why only LACCD? |
## Update on LACCD Legislation (2of 2)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proposed Legislation</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Update</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Increase financial aid opportunities for undocumented students | Conforms the application deadline in the competitive grant program | • Briefed Senate Education staff  
• Request to meet with Senator Maria Elena Durazo to author bill |
| Undocumented work study bill                | • Continue efforts from AB 1037-Limon                                        | • Contact with Asm Limon office  
• Request to meet with Latino Legislative Caucus staff |
| Food Insecurity bill                        | • Spot bill addressing food insecurity  
• Affordability, Food & Housing Access Task Force | • Met with Asm Medina staff  
• Follow up meeting prior to holiday being scheduled |