

**LOS ANGELES COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT
BOARD OF TRUSTEES
FACILITIES MASTER PLANNING & OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE
Educational Services Center
Board Room – First Floor
770 Wilshire Boulevard
Los Angeles, CA 90017
Wednesday, June 25, 2014
1:00 p.m. – 2:00 p.m.**

Committee: Steve Veres, Chair; Scott J. Svonkin, Vice Chair; and Ernest H. Moreno, Member

Trustee Veres called the meeting to order at 1:11 p.m.

PUBLIC SPEAKERS

None.

REPORTS/RECOMMENDATIONS/ACTIONS

Presentation/Initiative Reviews

- College Budget Recovery Plans

A document entitled “Strategic Execution Plans” was distributed.

Mr. James D. O’Reilly, Chief Facilities Executive, Facilities Planning and Development, gave an overview of the presentation and deferred to Ms. Terri Mestas, Director, LACCD Bond Program Management Office.

Ms. Mestas gave a PowerPoint presentation and discussed the document regarding the Strategic Execution Plans (SEP) for all nine colleges with respect to the program baseline, SEP Process – Phase I, Future Work: Number of College Projects Remaining, Future Work: New versus Modern versus Demolition Gross Square Feet (GSF), Future Work: Space Type Percentage Breakdown, Strategic Execution Plan Summary, and Strategic Execution Plan by College for all nine campuses.

Trustee Veres expressed his concern regarding the concept of the SEP with respect to the total sub-projects remaining for all nine colleges. He indicated that the plans were not based on the priorities of the Facilities Master Plan, the Educational Plan, nor the majority number of impacted students but rather on the colleges’ preferences. He requested that an assessment be conducted to determine the most critical needs of the LACCD students before it is too late to reevaluate the remaining projects. Trustee Veres requested that this item be brought back to the Committee for further evaluation and discussion with respect to the new concept of the SEP keeping priorities first as opposed to the colleges’ preferences.

A question and answer session was conducted regarding the issues and concerns with respect to the SEPs of the total sub-projects remaining for all nine colleges and the Committee’s new direction with respect to priorities as opposed to the colleges’ preferences.

NEW BUSINESS

None.

SUMMARY – NEXT MEETING

None.

ADJOURNMENT

There being no objection, the meeting was adjourned at 2:09 p.m.